

Fundamentally we have

A) Experiment that gives us  $d_{\text{raw}}(t_n)$

B) GR + math tricks that give us a waveform model over a parameter space  $\vec{\Theta} = (m_1, m_2, \vec{\chi}_1, \vec{\chi}_2, l, \varphi, t_c, D_L, \alpha, \delta, \psi, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, e)$

↳ From these we get our three fundamental pieces of data analysis:

1)  $d_n$  = noise-subtracted data series from Wiener filter & glitch masking on  $d_{\text{raw}}(t_n)$   
→ depends on noise model  $n(t)$

2)  $P(f_k) = \mathcal{F}[\langle d(t)d(t+\tau) \rangle] \equiv$  "Stationary (assumed) Power Spectral Density"  
= F.T. of autocovariance of  $d_n$  samples  
→ estimated using, e.g., Welch's Method

3)  $h(\vec{\Theta})$  = modeled signal produced at the detector due to GW from merger of compact object binary w/ parameters  $\vec{\Theta}$

AND with our "overlap" (inner product) defined (in continuum limit) by  $(a|b) = 4 \text{Re} \left[ \int_0^\infty df \frac{\tilde{a}(f) \tilde{b}^*(f)}{P(f)} \right]$   
we want to compute the SNR squared:  
$$\rho^2(\vec{\Theta}) = \frac{\langle d | h(\vec{\Theta}) \rangle^2}{\langle h(\vec{\Theta}) | h(\vec{\Theta}) \rangle}$$

# "WHITENED" DATA & TEMPLATE

to make overlap math easier, we define a WHITENED series by <sup>[now considering discrete time grid w/  $\Delta t = t_{n+1} - t_n$ ]</sup>

$$\tilde{X}_w(f) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2\Delta t}{P(f)}} \tilde{X}(f) \quad \& \quad X_w(t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\tilde{X}_w(f)]$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle d | h(\vec{\theta}) \rangle &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{f_k} \tilde{d}_w(f_k) \tilde{h}_w^*(f_k; \vec{\theta}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\text{time samples} \\ t_n}} d_w(t_n) h_w(t_n; \vec{\theta}) \end{aligned}$$

Two important tricks/derivations:

[1] separate the "coalescence time" parameter  $t_0$  by recognizing that in frequency space we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(f; \vec{\theta} = (\vec{\theta}_0, t_0)) &= \mathcal{F}[h(t-t_0; \vec{\theta}_0)] \\ &= h(f; \vec{\theta} = (\vec{\theta}_0, 0)) e^{-2\pi i f t_0} \Rightarrow \\ \langle d | h(t-t_0; \vec{\theta}_0) \rangle(t_0) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{f_k} \tilde{d}_w(f_k) \tilde{h}_w^*(f_k; \vec{\theta}_0, 0) e^{2\pi i f t_0} \\ &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\tilde{d}_w \tilde{h}_w^*(\vec{\theta}_0, 0)](t_0) \end{aligned}$$

[2] log likelihood is  $\ln \mathcal{L}(\vec{\theta}) = -\langle d | d \rangle + \langle d | h \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle h | h \rangle$   
 $\rightarrow$  write  $h = \frac{h_1}{D_L}$  where  $h_1$  is  $h(\dots, D_L = 1 \text{ mpc})$  &  $D_L$  is luminosity distance

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{L}}{\partial D_L} = \frac{\partial}{\partial D_L} \left( \frac{\langle d | h_1 \rangle}{D_L} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle h_1 | h_1 \rangle}{D_L^2} \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow D_L^{\max} = \frac{\langle h_1 | h_1 \rangle}{\langle d | h_1 \rangle} \Rightarrow \Delta \ln \mathcal{L}(D_L^{\max}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle d | h_1 \rangle^2}{\langle h_1 | h_1 \rangle} = \frac{\rho^2}{2}$$

$\uparrow$  drop const.  $\langle d | d \rangle$

In fact, we use  $g^2$  as a detection statistic precisely because it is a monotonic function of the likelihood  $L(\vec{\theta}; d) \equiv P(d|\vec{\theta}) = \frac{P(\vec{\theta}|d) \pi(\vec{\theta})}{\pi(d)}$

which is what the Neyman-Pearson lemma tells us will be the optimal statistic [max "power"  $\Leftrightarrow$  min False Negatives for fixed False Positive Rate]

Note that it is common to denote by  $\mathcal{L}$  the rescaling " $\mathcal{L}$ " =  $Z P(d|\vec{\theta})$  for any positive normalization constant  $Z$  ( $\nabla_{\vec{\theta}} Z = \vec{0}$  &  $Z > 0$ )

since we typically only compute likelihood ratios  $\Leftrightarrow$  log likelihood differences