D-BRANE MODEL BUILDING, PART II

Luis E. Ibáñez

Instituto de Física Teórica (IFT-UAM/CSIC)

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

PITP School, IAS Princeton, July 2008

III The Mirror : magnetized **IIB** branes

- Mirror symmetry exchanges IIA and IIB compactifications
- In Type IIB we have D9, D7, D5 and D3 branes wrapping 6-,4-, 2- and 0-cycles in the CY and subject to magnetic fluxes.
- We will consider 2 general classes of IIB models:
 - 1) Magnetized Dp IIB branes in toroidal/orbifold settings
 - 2) IIB D3-branes at singularities
- The first class ^a is T-dual (equivalent) to the intersecting D6-brane models already discussed.
- The second class may be considered also as a limiting class of magnetized branes wrapping cycles which are collapsed at a CY singularity.

^aBachas hep-th/9503030; Angelantonj et al. hep-th/0007090.

Magnetized toroidal IIB branes

• One considers N_a D9-branes wrapped m_a^i times on the i-th 2-torus in T^6 and with n_a^i units of $U(1)_a$ magnetic flux:

$$m_{a}^{i} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{T_{i}^{2}} F_{a}^{i} = n_{a}^{i}$$
 (1)

- (n_a^i, m_a^i) are now the dual of the D6-brane wrapping numbers.
- The relative angle of $D6_a D6_b$ branes is mapped to:

$$\theta_{ab} = arctg(F_b^i) - arctg(F_a^i)$$
(2)

with

$$F_a^i = \frac{n_a^i}{m_a^i R_{x_i} R_{y_i}} \tag{3}$$

• In the presence of a magnetic flux F in a IIB brane wrapping T^2 open string boundary conditions get modified:

$$\partial_{\sigma} X - F \partial_{\tau} Y = 0 \tag{4}$$

$$\partial_{\sigma}Y + F\partial_{\tau}X = 0 \tag{5}$$

- Note that F interpolates between N and D boundary conditions. At formally infinite flux they are purely D.
- This allows us also to describe lower dimensional branes:

$$D9 \to (n_a^1, m_a^1)(n_a^2, m_a^2)(n_a^3, m_a^3)$$
(6)

$$D7_1 \to (1,0)(n_a^2, m_a^2)(n_a^3, m_a^3)$$
 (7)

$$D5_1 \rightarrow (n_a^1, m_a^1)(1, 0)(1, 0)$$
 (8)

$$D3 \to (1,0)(1,0)(1,0)$$
 (9)

- Any intersecting D6-brane model may be converted into a magnetized D9-brane model with apropriate fluxes.
- A T-duality exchanges Neumann and Dirichlet boundary conditions alog the duality direction:



• E.g. The $Z_2 \times Z_2$ IIA orientifold example has now the MSSM residing at $D7_i$ branes. RR-tadpoles cancelled by additional magnetized D9 branes.







• Chirality arises from the missmatch of L- and R-handed fermions in compact dimensions in the presence of a magnetic flux.

Back to Type IIA: D8-branes with fluxes

 It turns out that in order to recover full mirror symmetry one has to consider in the IIA side new possibilities.D8-branes wrapping 5-cycles with magnetic fluxes.



 Naively one would say D6-branes exhaust all the possibilities for constructing space-filling BPS D-branes. Other Type IIA options D4,D8 would wrap homologically trivial cycles in a CY. • This is not quite true for D8's because they can carry non-trivial magnetic flux F in their worldvolume. The flux F induces D6 charge in the worldvolume of the D8 rendering them stable BPS objects:

$$D6-charge: \int_{M_4 \times \Pi_5} \mathcal{F} \wedge C_7$$
 (10)

- The D8's wrap 5-cycles Π_5 which are 'coisotropic' submanifolds in the CY.
- The D8 carries D6 charge corresponding to the 3-cycle Π_3^F Poincare dual to F inside Π_5 .

- A simple example is a $D8\mbox{-brane}$ wrapping $T^2\times T^2$ and a 1-cycle on the other T^2



• There is quantized magnetic flux inside $T^2 \times T^2$:

$$F = n_{xx} dx_2 \wedge dx_3 + n_{xy} dx_2 \wedge dy_3 + n_{yx} dy_2 \wedge dx_3$$

+ $n_{yy} dy_2 \wedge dy_3 + \tilde{n}_2 dx_2 \wedge dy_2 + \tilde{n}_3 dx_3 \wedge dy_3$

 There is a D-term condition analogous to that of D6's. However in addition there is an F-term condition:

$$(F + J_c)^2|_{\Pi_5} = 0 \tag{11}$$

• since (the T_i are the Kahler moduli)

$$\begin{array}{rcl} F^2 &=& (n_{xy}n_{yx} - n_{xx}n_{yy} + \tilde{n}_2\tilde{n}_3) \, dx_2 \wedge dy_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dy_3 \\ (J_c)^2|_{\Pi_5} &=& -T_2T_3dx_2 \wedge dy_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dy_3 \\ F \wedge J_c|_{\Pi_5} &=& -i(\tilde{n}_2T_3 + \tilde{n}_3T_2)dx_2 \wedge dy_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dy_3 \end{array}$$

• one gets the F-term constraint

$$(T_2 + i\tilde{n}_2)(T_3 + i\tilde{n}_3) = n_{xy}n_{yx} - n_{xx}n_{yy}$$
(12)

• The F-term condition may be understood as coming from a superpotential

$$W_1 = \Phi_1 \left(T_2 T_3 - f_1 \right) \; ; \; f_1 = n_{xy} n_{yx} - n_{xx} n_{yy}$$
 (13)

where Φ_1 is an open string modulus along the first torus (*D*8 location + Wilson line).



Model building applications

- These magnetized D8-branes may be used to construct semirealistic compactifications in $Z_2 \times Z_2$ orientifold with MSSM-like spectrum and 3 generations ^a.
- They have a couple of advantages over D6-brane models:
 - One can fix the Kahler moduli without the addition of closed string fluxes (which would require using the supergravity aproximation).
 - Their D6-induced charges correspond to non-factorized cycles. This makes the model-building more flexible.
- The model building possibilities of this new tool are still to be explored. We will content ourselves with an example here.

^aA.Font, L.E.I.,F. Marchesano, hep-th/0607219.



- Stacks a,b,c give rise to the SM sector.
- Additional branes to cancel all RR-tadpoles and fix the T_i (in collaboration with brane a)).

$N_i Dp_i$	$D8:\ (n,m)_i\times (n_{xx},n_{xy},n_{yx},n_{yy})_{(jk)}$
	$egin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
$N_M = 4 D6_M$	$(-2,1)_1 \times (-3,1) \times (-3,1)$
$N_X = 2 D 8_X$	$(1,0)_2 \times (-1,0,0,2)_{(13)}$
$N_Y = 2 D 8_Y$	$(1,0)_3 \times (-1,0,0,2)_{(12)}$

• The gauge group is

 $SU(3) \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L} \times U(1)_{3B+L} \times G_{hidden}$ (14)

• The chiral spectrum with MSSM quantum numbers is:

Intersection	Matter fields	Rep.	Q_{3B+L}
a-b	$Q_L + L$	$3(3+1,2_L)$	1
a-c	$U_R + D_R$; $E_R + \nu_R$	$3(\bar{3}+1,2_R)$	-1
b-c	$H + \overline{H}$	$(2_L, 2_R)$	0
b-M	L'	$6(2_M,2_L)$	0
c-M	R'	$6(2_M, 2_R)$	0

- This corresponds to 3 quark/lepton generations plus a minimal Higgs set. In addition there are some extra exotic leptons which can become massive at the electroweak scale.
- The D-term conditions give

$$\tau_2 = \tau_3 \quad ; \quad \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_3 = 9\tau_1 + 6\tau_2 + 6\tau_3 \tag{15}$$

• The F-term conditions fix the 3 untwisted Kahler moduli T_i :

$$D8_a \longrightarrow T_2 T_3 = F_a^2 = 1$$

$$D8_X \longrightarrow T_1 T_3 = F_X^2 = 2$$

$$D8_Y \longrightarrow T_1 T_2 = F_Y^2 = 2$$
(16)

• one thus has

$$ReT_1 = 2$$
; $ReT_2 = ReT_3 = 1$; $ImT_i = 0$ (17)

- The MSSM gauge kinetic functions are given by $f_{SU(3+1)} = n_1 n_{yy} S - n_1 n_{xx} U_1 = 10S + U_1$ $f_{SU(2)_L} = \frac{1}{2} U_2$ $f_{SU(2)_R} = \frac{1}{2} U_3$
- A variety of MSSM-like models may be built..

RCFT Type IIB orientifold MSSM-like models

- A large class of Type IIB Rational Conformal Field Theory (RCFT) orientifold models, of order 180000 with MSSM-like spectra were constructed in 2004 by Schellekens and colaborators ^a.
- These are non-geometrical compactifications in which the CY geometry is replaced by RCFT Gepner models with total central charge c = 9.
- This c = 9 system is obtained by tensoring N = 2 Minimal SCFT models each one with central charge

$$c = \frac{3k}{k+2}$$
, $k = 1, ..., \infty$ (18)

• There are 168 ways of solving $\sum_i c_{k_i} = 9$. In addition one can obtain new compactifications by moding by the discrete symmetries, a Z_{k+2} symmetry per minimal factor : 5403 models.

^aDijkstra,Huiszoon,Schellekens hep-th/0411129.

- Altogether there are 49304 possibilities for orientifold operations.
- The role of branes played by certain boundary states. For a given model the number of boundary states is finite, typically $10^2 10^3$.
- Search for MSSM spectra coming from a,b,c,d SM boundary states ('Madrid quiver' structure) plus possible hidden sector boundaries. 179520 MSSM-like models found with different spectra in 2004 sample.
- The general structure of SM gauge group (there is also in general a hidden sector)

Туре	SM Gauge group	B-L
0	$U(3) \times Sp(2) \times U(1) \times U(1)$	massless
1	$U(3) \times U(2) \times U(1) \times U(1)$	massless
2	$U(3) \times Sp(2) \times O(2) \times U(1)$	massless
3	$U(3) \times U(2) \times O(2) \times U(1)$	massless
4	U(3) imes Sp(2) imes Sp(2) imes U(1)	massless
5	$U(3) \times U(2) \times Sp(2) \times U(1)$	massless
6	$U(3) \times Sp(2) \times U(1) \times U(1)$	massive
7	$U(3) \times U(2) \times U(1) \times U(1)$	massive

- The geometric interpretation of these models corresponds to Type IIB orientifolds with magnetized D7-branes. The D7's would wrap 4-cycles in the CY.
- They have just the spectrum of the MSSM and vectorlike matter.
- No exotics. Some have gauge coupling unification (but extra vector-like matter).
- Limitation: correspond to particular points in CY space. Do not know yet how to extract the effective acion, feasible in principle.
- But the biggest set of MSSM-like solutions in the literature!



Closed string moduli in CY orientifolds

Type II orientifolds have massless Kahler T_i and complex structure U_m moduli. They are defined in terms of geometric quantities as follows ^a
 Type IIA:

$$T^{i} = Vol(\Sigma_{2}^{(i)}) + i B_{2}^{(i)}; U^{m} = e^{-\phi}Vol(\Sigma_{3}^{(m)}) + i C_{3}^{(m)}$$

- Type IIB (D3/D7)

$$U^{m} = \int_{\Sigma^{(3)}} \Omega; T^{i} = e^{-\phi} Vol(\Sigma_{4}^{(i)}) + i C_{4}^{(i)}$$
(20)

• Here Σ_n denotes a cycle of dimension=n in the CY, C_n are RR n-forms integrated over those cycles, Ω denotes the holomorphic 3.form in the CY and ϕ is the dilaton.

^aT.Grimm, J. Louis hep-th/0412277.

(19)

Closed string moduli Kahler potential in CY orientifolds

• The Kahler potential for Type IIA orientifolds may be obtained by dimensional reduction from D = 10 and is given by

$$K_{IIA} = -log(Vol_{CY}) - 2log \int_{CY} Re(C\Omega) \wedge *Re(\overline{C\Omega}) \quad (21)$$

where

$$C = e^{-\phi_4} \left[\frac{1}{8i} \int \Omega \wedge \Omega^* \right]^{-1/2} ; \ e^{\phi_4} = e^{\phi} / (Vol)^{1/2}$$
(22)

• For the case of the Kahler potential for IIB (D3/D7) orientifolds one gets

$$K_{IIB} = -2log(Vol_{CY}) - log i \int_{CY} \Omega \wedge \Omega - log(S + S^*)$$
(23)

where

$$S = \frac{1}{\phi} + i C_0 \tag{24}$$

is the complex dilaton field.

- In both cases one can check that the dependence on Kahler moduli and complex structure field is separated in the Kahler potential.
- In Type IIA the perturbative superpotential depends on the Kahler moduli but not on the complex structure.
- In Type IIB the perturbative superpotential depends on the complex structure moduli but not on the Kahler moduli.
- This separation may have phenomenological relevance (flavour problem).

Closed string moduli in IIA toroidal orientifolds

- There are IIA orientifold closed string moduli scalars:
 - The complex structure moduli. They are governed by the dilaton $\lambda = e^{\phi}$ and the shape of each tori. The η^I are the RR scalars with a role in U(1) anomalies.

$$S = \frac{M_s^3}{\lambda} R_x^{(1)} R_x^{(2)} R_x^{(3)} + i\eta^0$$
 (25)

$$\mathcal{U}^{(i)} = \frac{M_s^3}{\lambda} R_x^{(i)} R_y^{(j)} R_y^{(k)} + i\eta^i , \ i \neq j \neq k$$
(26)
(27)

- The Kahler moduli . The real part controls the size of the tori.

$$T^{(i)} = M_s^2 R_x^{(i)} R_y^{(i)} + i \left(B_2(i) \right)$$
(28)

Closed string moduli in IIB toroidal orientifolds

- For the $\Omega R^2 R^2 R^2$ IIB orientifold (D7, D3 branes).
 - The dilaton

$$S = \frac{1}{\lambda} + iC^0 \tag{29}$$

- The Kahler moduli. The real part is the 4-volume transverse to the i-th torus. The C^i are the RR scalars playing a role in U(1) anomalies.

$$T^{(i)} = \frac{M_s^4}{\lambda} R_x^{(j)} R_y^{(j)} R_x^{(k)} R_y^{(k)} + iC^i , \ i \neq j \neq k$$
(30)

- The complex structure moduli.

$$U^{(i)} = \tau_i \tag{31}$$

 Note that the real parts may be obtained from those of Type IIA through 3 T-dualities in the x-directions:

$$R_x^{(i)} \rightarrow rac{lpha'}{R_x^{(i)}}$$
 (32)

• Kahler and complex structure moduli are exchanged

$$IIA, D6 \ U^{(i)}, T^{(i)} \iff IIB, D7, D3 \ T^{(i)}, U^{(i)}$$
 (33)

The toroidal moduli Kahler potential

• It has the typical log structure.

$$K = -log(S + S^{*}) - log(\Pi_{i}(U^{(i)} + U^{(i)^{*}})) - log(\Pi_{i}(T^{(i)} + T^{(i)^{*}}))$$
(34)

- It has the same structure for IIA and IIB although the moduli have different meaning.
- These diagonal toroidal moduli are the untwisted moduli of the $Z_2 \times Z_2$ orientifold. Other orbifolds may have additional off-diagonal moduli.

Kahler metrics of matter fields (IIB)

 To compute low-energy physical quantities (like physical Yukawa couplings, SUSY-breaking soft terms) it is important to know the Kahler metrics of the matter fields

$$K_{ab}\Phi_a\Phi_b^* \tag{35}$$

- K_{ab} are non-holomorphic functions of the closed string moduli. Their dependence on the moduli is dictated by the geometric origin of the field.
- These metrics have been computed for simple cases either by dimensional reduction or explicit string correlators ^a.
- Different origin of chiral matter fields in IIB-D3/D7 toroidal orientifolds. The classification still applies to general CY orientifolds. (No magnetic fluxes).

^aL.E.I., C. Muñoz, S. Rigolin hep-ph/9812397; Lust et al. hep-th/0406092.



- b) Fields from $(7^i 7^i)_i$, i = 1, 2, 3. Come from dimensional reduction of D = 8 scalar multiplets which parametrize the position of 7^i -brane in transverse dimensions. Adjoints in toroidal case.

$$K_{(7^{i}7^{i})_{i}} = \frac{1}{su_{i}}$$
(37)

– c) Fields from two intersecting D7-branes.

$$K_{(7^{i}7^{j})} = \frac{1}{t_{k}^{1/2} s^{1/2} (u_{i}u_{j})^{1/2}} \quad i \neq j \neq k$$
(38)

- d) Fields from open strings between D3 and D7-branes.

$$K_{(37^{i})} = \frac{1}{t_{j}^{1/2} t_{k}^{1/2} (u_{j} u_{k})^{1/2}} \quad i \neq j \neq k$$
(39)

- e) Fields from open strings in D3-branes.

$$K_{(33)_i} = \frac{1}{t_i} \tag{40}$$

• The results for intersecting D6-branes may be obtained from T-duality.

Effect of magnetic fluxes on Kahler metrics

• The above metrics corresponded to the case with no magnetic fluxes on the D7-branes. But chirality typically requires magnetic fluxes. Consider the presence of magnetic fluxes through i-th torus F_i

$$F_i = n_i \left(\frac{st_i}{t_j t_k}\right)^{1/2} \tag{41}$$

• Then for fields of types a), b) one gets ^a

$$K_{(7^{i}7^{i})_{j}} = \frac{1}{t^{k}u_{j}} \left| \frac{1+iF^{k}}{1+iF^{j}} \right| ; K_{(7^{i}7^{i})_{i}} = \frac{1}{su_{i}} \left(1+|F^{j}F^{k}| \right),$$
(42)

where $i \neq j \neq k$ label the 3 2-tori

• Kahler metric for matter fields coming from intersecting magnetized D7's one

^aLust et al. hep-th/0404134.

has (Lust et al.)

$$K_{ab} = \frac{1}{(st_1t_2t_3)^{1/4}} (\Pi_{i=1}^3 u_i^{-\theta_{ab}}) \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\theta_{ab}^i)}{\Gamma(1-\theta_{ab}^i)}}$$
(43)

where $s = ReS, u_i = Re(U^{(i)}), t_i = Re(T^{(i)}).$

• For dilute fluxes (large t) this behaves with $t = t_1 = t_2 = t_3$ like

$$K_{ab} \simeq \frac{1}{s^{1/2} t^{1/2}}$$
 (44)

- In the Type IIA case the same result applies exchanging Kahler and c.s. moduli and interpreting the magnetic fluxes in terms of angles of intersecting D6 branes.
- The Kahler metric is important to compute SUSY breaking soft terms.

The gauge kinetic function

 The gauge coupling constant in IIA orientifolds may be obtained from the Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) action of the D6-branes

$$S_{DBI} = \int_{\Pi_{D6}} \frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{\det(G + F)} + S_{CS}$$
(45)

• Expanding to quadratic order in the gauge field strength F:

$$\frac{1}{g_a^2} = \frac{Vol(\Pi_3)}{\lambda} = \frac{M_s^3}{\lambda} \sqrt{\Pi_{i=1}^3 ((n_a^i R_x^{(i)})^2 + (m_a^i R_y^{(i)})^2)}$$
(46)

• If the D6-brane preserves same SUSY as orientifold plane, the expression simplifies a lot. Indeed, using the trigonometric expression

$$\Pi_{i=1}^{3} \left(1 + \tan^{2} \theta_{i}\right)^{1/2} = 1 - \sum_{i \neq j} \tan \theta_{i} \tan \theta_{j}$$

$$\tag{47}$$

one finds

$$\frac{1}{M_s^3 g_a^2} = n_a^1 n_a^2 n_3^3 R_x^1 R_x^2 R_x^3 - \sum_{i \neq j \neq k} n_a^i m_a^j m_a^k R_x^i R_y^j R_y^k$$
(48)

$$= n_a^1 n_a^2 n_3^3 Re(S) - \sum_{i \neq j \neq k} n_a^i m_a^j m_a^k Re(U^i)$$
(49)

• and hence by holomorphicity of kinetic function

$$f_a = n_a^1 n_a^2 n_3^3 S - \sum_{i \neq j \neq k} n_a^i m_a^j m_a^k U^i$$
(50)

- In the Type IIB case one also expands the DBI action. The $m_a^i m_a^j$ pieces come from the insertion of F^2 backgrounds in the expansion. One then finds the same expression exchanging $U^i \leftrightarrow T^i$.
- Note that in general coupling constants would not unify in a MSSM model. The Fields S, U^i contain RR scalars participating in GS mechanism.

• In the MSSM-like model we described before one finds:

$$f_{SU(3+1)} = U_1 + 9S; f_{SU(2)_L} = \frac{1}{2}U_2; f_{SU(2)_R} = \frac{1}{2}U_3$$
 (51)

- SUSY condition implies $ReU_2 = ReU_3 = ReU$ so that $SU(2)_L$ and $SU(2)_L$ are unified due to SUSY.
- One can tune S, U(1) to get unification.
- There are more complicated models in which gauge coupling unification is more easily achieved.






• If i, j, k = 0, 1, ... label the chiral fields at intersections One expects a semiclassical contribution

$$Y_{ijk} \propto \sum exp\left(-\frac{A_{ijk}}{2\pi\alpha'}\right)$$
 (52)

^aAldazabal et al.(2000);D.Cremades,L.I.,F. Marchesano (2003,2004)



 $\bullet\,$ One finds in the simple T^2 case

$$A_{ijk}(l) \propto \sum_{l} \frac{A}{2} |I_{ab}I_{bc}I_{ca}| \left(\frac{i}{I_{ab}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc}} + \frac{k}{I_{ca}} + \tilde{\epsilon} + l\right)^2$$
(53)

• $\tilde{\epsilon}$ parametrizes relative positions of branes (open string moduli).

$$Y_{ijk} \propto \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \delta \\ \phi \end{bmatrix} (t) = \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{2}(\delta+l)^2} e^{2\pi i(\delta+l)\phi}, \quad q = e^{-2\pi t}.$$
(54)

$$\delta = \frac{i}{I_{ab}} + \frac{j}{I_{bc}} + \frac{k}{I_{ca}} + \tilde{\epsilon}$$
(55)

$$\phi = 0, \qquad (56)$$

$$t = \frac{A}{\alpha'} |I_{ab}I_{bc}I_{ca}|.$$

where θ is the standard Jacobi theta function with characteristics..

• This is easily generalized to include complex Kahler moduli J^a , a = 1, 2, 3, Wilson lines θ^a_i on the D6-branes and the full $T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2$.

$$Y_{ijk} = h_{qu} \cdot h_{cl} = h_{qu} \prod_{r=1}^{n} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \delta^{(r)} \\ \phi^{(r)} \end{bmatrix} (0, \kappa^{(r)})$$
(57)

 h_{qu} is flavor-independent ^a. Here the ϑ -function parameters are given by

$$\delta^{(r)} = \frac{i^{(r)}}{I_{ab}^{(r)}} + \frac{j^{(r)}}{I_{ca}^{(r)}} + \frac{k^{(r)}}{I_{bc}^{(r)}} + \frac{I_{ab}^{(r)}\epsilon_{c}^{(r)} + I_{ca}^{(r)}\epsilon_{b}^{(r)} + I_{bc}^{(r)}\epsilon_{a}^{(r)}}{I_{ab}^{(r)}I_{bc}^{(r)}I_{ca}^{(r)}}$$
(58)

$$\phi^{(r)} = I_{ab}^{(r)} \theta_c^{(r)} + I_{ca}^{(r)} \theta_b^{(r)} + I_{bc}^{(r)} \theta_a^{(r)}, \qquad (59)$$

$$\kappa^{(r)} = |I_{ab}^{(r)} I_{bc}^{(r)} I_{ca}^{(r)}| J^{(r)}$$
(60)

^aCvetic,Papadimitriou;Abel,Owen (2003);Lust, Mayr,Richter,Stieberger (2004)





$$Y_{ij}{}^{U} \sim \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i}{3} + \epsilon^{(2)} \\ \theta^{(2)} \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3J^{(2)}}{\alpha'} \end{pmatrix} \times \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \frac{j}{3} + \epsilon^{(3)} + \tilde{\epsilon}^{(3)} \\ \theta^{(3)} + \tilde{\theta}^{(3)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3J^{(3)}}{\alpha'} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Y_{ij*}{}^{D} \sim \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i}{3} + \epsilon^{(2)} \\ \theta^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3J^{(2)}}{\alpha'} \end{pmatrix} \times \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \frac{j*}{3} + \epsilon^{(3)} - \tilde{\epsilon}^{(3)} \\ \theta^{(3)} - \tilde{\theta}^{(3)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3J^{(3)}}{\alpha'} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(62)

Then, the Yukawa matrices can be expressed as (A, B, \tilde{B} diagonal matrices bilinear in θ -functions)

$$Y^{U} \sim A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot B, \qquad Y^{D} \sim A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tilde{B}.$$
(63)

• In this model only the third generation becomes massive. One can obtain:

$$\frac{h_t}{h_b} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(B \cdot \bar{B}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\bar{B} \cdot \bar{\tilde{B}}\right)}} \simeq e^{2ImJ^{(3)}}$$

- Thus one can understand the smallness of h_b in geometric terms.
- This structure could be a good starting point. The masslessness of other generations is due to factorization of family dependence. E.g, slight departure from factorization would lead to smaller but non-vanishing masses for rest of families.
- Notice the presence of complex phases (origin of SM CP-violation) are phases from Kahler moduli and Wilson lines.

Yukawa couplings: the IIB mirror

- The computation of Yukawa couplings in the Type IIB mirror is quite different ^a. Consider the case of magnetized *D*9-branes. One has to do a KK-reduction and compute the massles spectrum from the zero modes of Dirac and K-G equations in extra dimensions.
- The initial gauge group may be e.g. U(n) (or e.g. SO(32), it will not be crucial for our purposses), in D=10.

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ F^{MN} F_{MN} \right\} + \frac{i}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \bar{\Psi} \Gamma^M D_M \Psi \right\}$$

• We then compactify the theory down to D = 4. The D = 10 fields can then be expanded:

$$\Psi(w) = \sum_{n} \chi_{n}(x) \otimes \psi_{n}(y)$$
$$A_{i}(w) = \sum_{n} \varphi_{n i}(x) \otimes \phi_{n i}(y)$$

^aD. Cremades, L.E.I., F. Marchesano hep-th/0404229.

• Here $x^{\mu}, \mu = 0, .., 3$ and $y^m, m = 4, .., 9$. The internal wave functions verify:

$$i \tilde{D}_{6} \psi_{n}^{ab} = m_{n} \psi_{n}^{ab}, \qquad m_{n} = 0$$

 $\Delta_{6} \phi_{n}^{ab} = M_{n}^{2} \phi_{n}^{ab}, \qquad \text{smallest } M_{n}^{2}$

• The initial gauge group U(n) is broken to $U(p_a) \times U(p_b) \times ...$ by adding constant fluxes F_a , F_b etc...

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} F_a & & \\ & F_b & \\ & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} A_a & & \\ & A_b & \\ & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

,

• The D = 10 gaugino field has now D = 4 zero modes including gauginos and chiral fermions in bifundamentals:

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D = 4 U(p_a) & D = 4 \operatorname{bif.}(p_a, \bar{p}_b) \\ \text{gaugino} & \text{chiral fermion} \\ D = 4 U(p_b) \\ \text{gaugino} & \text{gaugino} \end{pmatrix}$$

Dirac eq.
$$\begin{cases} \not D = 0, \\ D = \partial - iA \end{cases}$$

• In general $D\Psi = 0$ has several independent chiral fermion solutions \rightarrow family replication.



- The Yukawa coupling constant are thus obtained as overlap integrals of the three wave functions in the extra six dimensions.
- One can explicitly compute the wave-functions ψ_J^β and $\phi_{K\,i}^\gamma$ for the case of T^{2n} compactifications with magnetic fluxes. They turn out to be proportional to Jacobi theta functions depending on compact corrdinates.
- The results should be equivalent to the results found for intersecting D6 branes after the appropriate replacements.



• Yukawa couplings in intersecting D6-brane models may be rewritten

$$Y_{ijk}^{\text{int}} = h_{\text{qu}} \prod_{r=1}^{n} e^{H_{\text{int}}^{(r)}/2} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{ijk}^{(r)} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \left(\nu^{(r)}, J^{(r)}(I_{ab}^{(r)}I_{bc}^{(r)}I_{ca}^{(r)})\right)$$
(65)

Here H_{int} is a known function of the open string moduli $\nu^{(r)}$ (brane locations ϵ^i and W.L.), $J^{(r)}$ are the Kahler moduli of the 3-tori.

• Yukawa couplings in magnetized T-dual obtained upon explicit integration:

$$Y_{ijk} = \frac{g_{10}}{2} \prod_{r=1}^{3} \left(\frac{2 \text{Im} \tau^{(r)}}{(\mathcal{A}^{(r)})} \right)^{1/4} \left| \frac{\mu_{ab}^{(r)} \mu_{ca}^{(r)}}{\mu_{bc}^{(r)}} \right|^{1/4} e^{H_{magn}^{(r)}/2} \times$$
(66)
$$\times \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{ijk}^{(r)} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \left(\zeta^{(r)}, \tau^{(r)} |I_{ab}^{(r)} I_{bc}^{(r)} I_{ca}^{(r)}| \right)$$
(67)

where $\mu_{ab} = \theta_{ab}/\alpha'$, $\zeta^{(r)}$ are open string moduli (actually W.L.), $\mathcal{A}^{(r)}$ are the areas of the 3 tori, and $\tau^{(r)}$ are the complex structure of the tori.

• One can check that both expressions agree in the large volume (small angle) limits as long as:

$$h_{qu} = g_{10} \alpha'^{-3/4} \prod_{r=1}^{3} \left| \frac{\mu_{ab}^{(r)} \mu_{ca}^{(r)}}{\mu_{bc}^{(r)}} \right|^{1/4} = e^{\phi_{10}/2} \left| \frac{\theta_{ab}^{(r)} \theta_{ca}^{(r)}}{\theta_{bc}^{(r)}} \right|^{1/4}$$

• This agrees with the string computation of h_{qu} ^a for small angle :

$$h_{qu} = e^{\phi_{10}/2} \prod_{r=1}^{3} \left[\frac{\Gamma(1 - \theta_{ab}^{(r)})\Gamma(1 - \theta_{ca}^{(r)})\Gamma(\theta_{ab}^{(r)} + \theta_{ca}^{(r)})}{\Gamma(\theta_{ab}^{(r)})\Gamma(\theta_{ca}^{(r)})\Gamma(1 - \theta_{ab}^{(r)} - \theta_{ca}^{(r)})} \right]^{1/4}$$
(68)
$$\longrightarrow e^{\phi_{10}/2} \prod_{r=1}^{3} \left[\frac{\theta_{ab}^{(r)}\theta_{ca}^{(r)}}{\theta_{bc}^{(r)}} \right]^{1/4}$$
(69)

^aCvetic,Papadimitriou;Abel,Owen (2003);Lust, Mayr,Richter,Stieberger (2004)

• In N = 1 supergravity the normalized Yukawa couplings are obtained from the Kahler metrics K_{mn} of the bifundamentals and from the SUPERPOTENTIAL W_{ijk} :

$$Y_{ijk} = (K_{ab}K_{bc}K_{ca})^{-1/2} e^{K/2} W_{ijk}$$

• Matching is obtained if:

$$W_{ijk} = \prod_{r=1}^{3} \vartheta \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{ijk}^{(r)} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \left(\zeta^{(r)}, \tau^{(r)} |I_{ab}^{(r)} I_{bc}^{(r)} I_{ca}^{(r)}| \right)$$
$$(K_{ab} K_{bc} K_{ca})^{-1/2} e^{K/2} = \frac{g_{10}}{2} \prod_{r=1}^{3} \left(\frac{2 \mathrm{Im} \tau^{(r)}}{\mathcal{A}^{(r)}} \right)^{1/4} \left| \frac{\mu_{ab}^{(r)} \mu_{ca}^{(r)}}{\mu_{bc}^{(r)}} \right|^{1/4} e^{H_{mag}^{(r)}/2}$$

 This agrees with the computation of toroidal Kahler potential and metrics previously discussed.

- Note that Type IIA computation of Yukawa couplings requires a stringy computation summing worldsheet instanton contributions.
- Type IIB computation just requires a purely field-theoretical Kaluza-Klein reduction. No string computation involved.
- It is a nice check of Mirror Symmetry that both computations nicely match.



 D. Berenstein, V. Jejjala and R. G. Leigh, "The standard model on a D-brane," Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 071602 [arXiv:hep-ph/0105042].

Bottom-up embedding of the SM in string theory • Top-down approach to the embedding of the SM. Start with e.g a large gauge group (e.g. $E_8 \times E_8$) in D = 10 and break down the symmetry untill we find the SM. Bottom-up approach: - Look for local configurations of Dp-branes resembling as much as possible the SM. - This local configuration will in general be part of a global compact model. (Most likely myriads of CY may contain such local configuration).

- Most relevant phenomenological properties depend only in the local configuration
- Most local branes filling Minkowski space: Stacks of D3-branes at singularities in the CY (required for chirality).



• Consider the local complex coordinates x_1, x_2, x_3 in the CY. Consider the Z_N twist generated by θ :

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} (x_1, x_2, x_3) \to (\alpha^{l_1} x_1, \alpha^{l_2} x_2, \alpha^{l_3} x_3)$$
(70)

with $\theta^N = 1$, $l_a \in \mathbb{Z}$. One has N = 1 SUSY for $l_1 + l_2 + l_3 = 0 \mod N$.

 Consider M D3-branes located on top of singularity. The open string spectrum must be invariant under θ and a simultaneous action on the CP factor degrees of freedom:

$$\gamma_{\theta,3} = \text{diag}\left(I_{n_0}, e^{2\pi i/N} I_{n_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i(N-1)/N} I_{n_{N-1}}\right)$$
(71)

where I_{n_i} is the $n_i imes n_i$ unit matrix, and $\sum_i n_i = M$.

• The projection for massless states:

Gauge bosons:

$$\lambda \psi^{\mu}_{-1/2} | 0 > \longrightarrow \ \lambda = \gamma_{\theta,3} \lambda \gamma_{\theta,3}^{-1} \tag{72}$$

• Then the gauge symmetry is broken to (at most) N factors:

$$U(M) \longrightarrow U(n_0) \times U(n_1) \times \dots \times U(n_{N-1})$$
 (73)

Chiral multiplets:

$$\lambda \psi_{-1/2}^r |0\rangle \longrightarrow \lambda = e^{i2\pi l_r/N} \gamma_{\theta,3} \lambda \gamma_{\theta,3}^{-1} \quad , \quad r = 1, 2, 3$$
(74)

Then there are chiral matter in bifundamentals:

$$\sum_{l_r} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left(n_i, \bar{n}_{i+l_r} \right)$$
(75)



Searching for a 3 generation SM

• We can start with D3 branes at a Z_N singularity with twist $v = 1/N(l_1, l_2, l_3)$

$$U(3) \times U(2) \times U(n_2) \times \dots \times U(n_{N-1})$$
(76)

and then quarks would come from

$$\sum_{r} \left(n_0, \bar{n}_{0+l_r} \right) \tag{77}$$

- Note that there are at most 3 left-handed quarks, and 3 generations are obtained for $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = 1$ which corresponds to the Z_3 orbifold in the SUSY case.
- Thus only for Z_3 3 generations appear(also for non-Abelian discrte groups containing Z_3).

The SM from D3-branes at a Z_3 singularity

• At Z_3 we have gauge group $U(n_0) \times U(n_1) \times U(n_2)$. Only 3 gauge factor possible. We want $n_0 = 3, n_1 = 2, n_2 = 1$ so we have CP matrix:

$$\gamma_{\theta,3} = \text{diag}\left(\mathbf{I}_3, e^{2\pi i/3}\mathbf{I}_2, e^{4\pi i/3}\mathbf{I}_1\right)$$
 (78)

• This leads to gauge group and particle content:



The SM Z_3 QUIVER

• Gauge group, chiral content and Yukawa couplings of D3-branes at singularities may be expressed in terms of graphs called **Quivers**.



- Z_N quivers have N nodes representing gauge groups and bifundamental chiral fields are represented by the links. Closed triangles correspond to Yukawa couplings.
- More complicated singularities also admit a quiver rep. (ask Herman).
- But in this example the chiral spectrum is anomalous!.

Local RR twisted tadpole cancellation

• Overall RR charge from the 4-form should vanish:

$$Tr \gamma_{\theta,3} = 0 \tag{79}$$

For our case with $\gamma_{\theta,3} = diag(I_3, \alpha I_2, \alpha^2 I_1)$ one has:

$$Tr \gamma_{\theta,3} = 3 + 2\alpha + \alpha^2 \neq 0 \tag{80}$$

 Need to new source of RR charge: D7-branes. They should wrap the CY and pass through the D3-branes. RR tadpole conditions modified. For general Z_N singularities:

$$\left[\prod_{r=1}^{3} 2\sin(\pi k l_r/N)\right] \operatorname{Tr} \gamma_{\theta^{k},3} + \sum_{r=1}^{3} 2\sin(\pi k l_r/N) \operatorname{Tr} \gamma_{\theta^{k},7_{r}} = 0 \quad (81)$$

- There is one condition for each of the N-1 twisted sectors. This is because there are N-1 RR twisted charges.
- One can show that these conditions guarantee cancellation of non-Abelian anomalies .
- Here the $D7_r$ are transverse to the local x_r complex coordinate. Since they are (before compactification) infinitely extended, D7-branes give rise only to global symetries. (Large wrapped volume corresponds to $g_7 = 0$).



$$\lambda_{37_3} = e^{i\pi l_3/N} \gamma_{\theta,3} \lambda \gamma_{\theta,7_3}^{-1} \to \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (n_i, \bar{u}_{i+l_3})$$
(83)

• $D7_3 - D3$ chiral multiplets.

$$\lambda_{7_33} = e^{i\pi l_3/N} \gamma_{\theta,7_3} \lambda \gamma_{\theta,3}^{-1} \rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left(u_i, \bar{n}_{i+l_3} \right)$$
(84)

• These new multiplets precisely cancel the non-Abelian gauge anomalies from the D3-brane sector.



• In the case of Z_3 one has

$$3\operatorname{Tr}\gamma_{\theta,3} + \sum_{r=1}^{3} \operatorname{Tr}\gamma_{\theta,7_r} = 0$$
(85)

• Then adding 3 sets of $D7_r$ branes each transverse to the x_r plane and with CP twist matrices ($u_0 = 0, u_1 = 1, u_2 = 2$)

$$\gamma^{r}_{\theta,7} = \text{diag}\left(e^{i2\pi/3}, e^{4\pi i/3}\mathbf{I_2}\right)$$
 (86)

one gets (here $\alpha = exp(i2\pi/3)$)

$$\sum_{r} Tr\gamma_{\theta,7} + 3Tr\gamma_{\theta,3} = 3(\alpha + 2\alpha^2) + 3(3 + 2\alpha + \alpha^2) = 0$$
(87)

and tadpoles cancel. There is a $(U(1) \times U(2))^3$ global symmetry.



U(1) anomalies

• In a Z_N singularity there are up to N U(1) factors:

$$Z_N$$
 : $U(M) \rightarrow U(n_0) \times U(n_1) \times \ldots \times U(n_{N-1})$ (88)

- Most U(1)'s have triangle anomalies which are cancelled by a generalized GS mechanism, analogous to the one we discussed already.
- There is an important difference though: the scalars involved in the cancellation are the N-1 twisted RR fields in the singularity.





• Then one can check that weighted diagonal generator with all c_i equal

$$Q_{diag} = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{Q_{n_j}}{n_j}$$
(91)

is always anomaly free and massless. However it must be $n_j \neq 0$ for all j.

• This is generic. For some particular types of twists other anomaly-free U(1)'s may appear.
Hypercharge

• In our case we have three U(1)'s from $U(3) \times U(2) \times U(1)$ and we have there is only one anomaly free U(1): hypercharge

$$Y = Q_{diag} = -\left(\frac{Q_3}{3} + \frac{Q_2}{2} + Q_1\right)$$
 (92)

• It automatically gives the correct asignements of hypercharge for SM particles

• Massless chiral spectrum:

Matter fields	Q_3	Q_2	Q_1	$Q_{u_1^r}$	$Q_{u_2^r}$	Y
33 sector						
3(3,2)	1	-1	0	0	0	1/6
$3(\bar{3},1)$	-1	0	1	0	0	-2/3
3(1,2)	0	1	-1	0	0	1/2
37_r sector						
(3,1)	1	0	0	-1	0	-1/3
$(\bar{3},1;2')$	-1	0	0	0	1	1/3
(1,2;2')	0	1	0	0	-1	-1/2
(1,1;1')	0	0	-1	1	0	1
$7_{r}7_{r}$ sector						
3(1;2)'	0	0	0	1	-1	0

Table 1: Spectrum of $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ model. We present the quantum numbers under the $U(1)^9$ groups. The first three U(1)'s come from the D3-brane sector. The next two come from the D7_r-brane sectors, written as a single column (Aldazabal et al. hep-th/0005067).

 $sin^2\theta_W$ from D3-branes at Z_N singularities • For a general embedding of SM in $U(3) imes U(2) imes U(1)^{N-2}$ the hypercharge is given by $-Y = Q_{diag} = \left(\frac{Q_3}{3} + \frac{Q_2}{2} + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} Q_i\right)$ (93)• k_1 = relative normalization of Y compared to non-Abelian generators: $k_1 = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{n_i} = 2(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2} + N - 2) = \frac{5}{3} + 2(N - 2)$ (94)

and then for Z_N singularities one has

$$\sin^2 \theta_W = \frac{1}{1+k_1} = \frac{3}{6N-4} \tag{95}$$

- Thus for the Z_3 singularity one has at the string scale $sin^2\theta_W = 3/14$.
- In the SM construction one has at low energies (after one turns on vevs for $(1, 2') 7_r 7_r$) the MSSM content with 3 sets of Higgs multiplets. Doing the running one finds no gauge coupling unification for $sin^2\theta_W = 3/14$.
- Blowing up the singularity, i.e. $\langle M_k \rangle \neq 0$ may correct for this since the gauge kinetic functions for D3's are given by:

$$f_a = S + \sum_k d_k M_k \tag{96}$$

where M_k are the twisted moduli at the singularity and d_k computable coefficients. (The shift of the M_k operates in GS mechanism)

• In fact gauge coupling unification nicely occurrs in a left-right symmetric version of the model.

A left-right symmetric Z_3 model

• We can construct a model with gauge group $U(3) \times U(2)_L \times U(2)_R$ by taking seven D3 branes with CP twist matrix:

$$\gamma_{\theta,3} = \text{diag}\left(\mathbf{I_3}, e^{2\pi i/3}\mathbf{I_2}, e^{4\pi i/3}\mathbf{I_2}\right)$$
 (97)

• The set of $D7_r$ -branes required is quite simple. It is just 3 sets of 2 $D7_r$ branes with twist matrix

$$\gamma_{\theta,7^r} = \text{diag}\,(e^{2\pi i/3}, e^{4\pi i/3}),$$
(98)

tadpoles cancel

$$\sum_{r} Tr\gamma_{\theta,7} + 3Tr\gamma_{\theta,3} = 3(\alpha + \alpha^2) + 3(3 + 2\alpha + 2\alpha^2) = 0$$
(99)

This leads to gauge group and particle content:



Matter fields	Q_3	Q_L	Q_R	$Q_{U_1^i}$	$Q_{U_2^i}$	B-L
33 sector						
3(3, 2, 1)	1	-1	0	0	0	1/3
$3(\bar{3},1,2)$	-1	0	1	0	0	-1/3
3(1,2,2)	0	1	-1	0	0	0
37_r sector						
(3, 1, 1)	1	0	0	-1	0	-2/3
$(\bar{3}, 1, 1)$	-1	0	0	0	1	2/3
(1, 2, 1)	0	1	0	0	-1	-1
(1, 1, 2)	0	0	-1	1	0	1
7_r7_r sector						
3(1)'	0	0	0	1	-1	0

Table 2: Spectrum of $SU(3) \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ model. We present the quantum numbers under the $U(1)^9$ groups. The first three U(1)'s arise from the D3-brane sector. The next two come from the D7_r-brane sectors (Aldazabal et al. hep-th/0001083; hep-th/0005067).

- The extra triplets from (7^r 3) + (3 7^r) sectors are generically massive. Thus the low energy content is a minimal LR model with 3 EW Higgs sets. (This is another example of the necessity of 3 Higgs sets to cancel U(2) anomalies!).
- There are 2 anomalous U(1)'s which disappear from the low energy spectrum and one massless anomaly free $U(1)_{B-L}$:

$$Q_{B-L} = -2(\frac{Q_3}{3} + \frac{Q_L}{2} + \frac{Q_R}{2})$$
 (100)

• Recall: $Y = -T_R^3 + \frac{1}{2}Q_{B-L}$ and $k_{B-L} = 32/3$. One then finds that for $M_{W_R} \simeq 1$ TeV couplings nicely unify at 10^{12} GeV.



extra D7-branes added leading to chiral fields transforming like

(3,1,1) + (1,2,1) + (1,1,2) + h.c,) which do not modify the running at one loop.

• There are two regions for the running $M_R < Q < M_{string}$ with Left-Right gauge group and $M_Z < Q < M_R$ with SM content.

$$\sin^{2} \theta_{W}(M_{Z}) = \frac{3}{14} \left(1 + \frac{11\alpha_{e}(M_{Z})}{6\pi} \left[\left(B_{L} - \frac{3}{11} B_{1}^{\prime} \right) \log \left(\frac{M_{s}}{M_{R}} \right) + \left(b_{2} - \frac{3}{11} b_{1} \right) \log \left(\frac{M_{R}}{M_{Z}} \right) \right] \right)$$

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_{e}(M_{Z})} - \frac{14}{3\alpha_{3}(M_{Z})} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\left(b_{1} + b_{2} - \frac{14}{3} b_{3} \right) \log \left(\frac{M_{R}}{M_{Z}} \right) + \left(B_{1}^{\prime} + B_{L} - \frac{14}{3} B_{3} \right) \log \left(\frac{M_{s}}{M_{R}} \right) \right]$$

$$(101)$$

where one has

$$B'_1 = B_R + \frac{1}{4}B_{B-L}$$
; $B_3 = -3$, $B_L = B_R = +3$, $B_{B-L} = 16$ (103)

• With $M_R = 1$ TeV one finds

$$sin^2 W(M_Z) = 0.231; M_{strings} = 9 \times 10^{11} \, GeV$$
 (104)

- A right-handed W_R gauge boson with $M_R = 1$ TeV would be accesible at LHC. It could be the signal of string unification at an intermediate scale $M_{string} = 10^{12}$ GeV.
- If $M_{string} = 10^{12}$ GeV and SUSY breaking scale is also of that order then one expects SUSY breaking soft terms of order

$$m_{soft} = \frac{M_{string}^2}{M_{Planck}} \simeq 10 TeV \tag{105}$$

which is of the required order of magnitude.

- Concerning Yukawa couplings, They are either of order 1 or zero. There are quark Yukawa couplings $\epsilon_{ijk}Q_L^iQ_R^jH^k$ from $(33)^3$ couplings.
- Lepton Yukawa couplings $L^i R^j H^k$ are perturbatively forbidden by (anomalous U(1)) gauge symmetries but may be induced by string instanton effects.
- Masses for right handed neutrinos may arise from non-renormalizable couplings involving the fields doing the $SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L} \rightarrow U(1)_Y$ breaking. (In any event those masses should be < 1 TeV).
- This minimal L-R configuration can be embedded in a compact scheme like F-theory. By the way....



- F-theory may be considered as a non-perturbative version of Type IIB orientifolds.
- Type IIB string theory in D = 10 has a non-perturbative $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ S-duality symmetry under which $\tau = \frac{1}{g_s} + iC_0$ transforms. The idea is to identify locally this τ with the complex structure of a 2-torus living in extra 11-th and 12-th dimensions.
- Thus F-theory gives a geometric description of the S-duality symmetry in compactifications of Type IIB theory.
- One considers compactifications of this 12-dimensional theory on a CY complex 4-fold X_4 down to D = 4.
- The CY 4-fold must be elliptically fibered over a complex 3-dimensional CY *B*₃, meaning that locally one can write

with the complex structure modulus of the T^2 identified with au.

• These are clearly non-perturbative vacua since e.g. the $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ symmetry includes transformations under which $g_s \rightarrow 1/g_s$.



• The theory contains F-theory (p, q) 7-branes which wrap the complex 2-fold S. Inside the 3-fold B_3 these 7-branes correspond to complex codimension 1

singularities. Depending on the canonical ADE classification of the singularities the gauge groups are SU(n+1), SO(2n) and E_6, E_7, E_8 .

- Thus the gauge group in F-theoretical 7-branes goes beyond what one can get in perturbative Type IIB orientifold D7-branes in which only SU(n+1) and SO(2n) gauge groups may be obtained.
- Furthermore in F-theory the matter content in models with SO(2n) gauge symmetry may include spinorial representations which are not present in perturbative IIB orientifold compactifications.
- The D = 4 chiral matter fields in F-theory have the same qualitative origin as in perturbative IIB orientifolds.
- In general addition of magnetic fluxes on 7-branes is required to get chirality.
- There are 3 general classes of chiral matter fields from 7-branes, analogous to the ones in IIB orientifolds, A, φ, I. Fields I live at intersections of two 7-branes in a complex curve Σ inside S.

- Recently Beasley, Heckmann and Vafa have constructed LOCAL F-theory models with a GUT gauge group and $S = dP_n$ surfaces. Gauge group broken to SM through U(1) magnetic fluxes on S.
- They are local brane models which are consistent with gauge coupling unification.
- Many qualitative features of magnetized IIB D3/D7 orientifolds apply to the F-theory effective action. (E.g. the structure of SUSY breaking soft terms to be discussed later).